

Daniel E. Barenbaum (SBN 209261)
Christina M. Sarraf (SBN 328028)
BERMAN TABACCO
425 California Street, Suite 2300
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 433-3200
Facsimile: (415) 433-6382
Email: dbarenbaum@bermantabacco.com
csarraf@bermantabacco.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

[Additional Counsel on Signature Page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

BRANDON MOLINA, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

23ANDME, INC.,

Defendant.

) No.

) **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

1 Plaintiff Brandon Molina (“Plaintiff” or “Molina”), individually and on behalf of himself
 2 and all others similarly situated, alleges the following against 23andMe, Inc. (“23andMe,” the
 3 “Company,” or “Defendant”). The following allegations are based upon Plaintiff’s personal
 4 knowledge with respect to himself and his own acts and, following his investigations and the
 5 investigation of his counsel, upon information and belief as to all other matters.

6 **INTRODUCTION**

7 1. Plaintiff brings this class action against 23andMe for its failure to properly secure
 8 and safeguard Plaintiff’s and similarly situated individuals’ personally identifiable information
 9 (“PII”) and protected health information (“PHI”) (collectively, “Private Information”), including
 10 but not limited to their name, sex, date of birth, genetic information (including but not limited to
 11 “Maternal and Paternal Haplogroup results” and “Neanderthal Ancestry results”), predicted
 12 relationships with genetic matches, ancestry reports, ancestors’ birth locations and family names,
 13 family tree information, profile pictures, and geographic location.¹

14 2. 23andMe purports to be a leading consumer genetics and research company,
 15 founded in 2006, that describes its mission as helping people access, understand, and benefit from
 16 the human genome. According to the “Corporate Profile” on its website, 23andMe touts itself as
 17 having “pioneered direct access to genetic information” as “the only company with multiple FDA
 18 clearances for genetic health reports.”²

19 3. As of March 31, 2023, 23andMe cumulatively possesses and stores the Private
 20 Information of over 14.1 million people in its databases.³ This Private Information includes
 21 genetic information provided by individuals since 2006 in connection with the Company’s
 22

23 ¹ 23andMe Blog, *Addressing Data Security Concerns*, 23andMe, Inc.,
 24 <https://blog.23andme.com/articles/addressing-data-security-concerns> (last accessed Nov. 3,
 25 2023); *see also* Lily Hay Newman, *23andMe User Data Stolen in Targeted Attack on Ashkenazi Jews*, *Wired* (Oct. 6, 2023), <https://www.wired.com/story/23andme-credential-stuffing-data-stolen/>.

26 ² 23andMe Investor Relations, *Corporate Profile*, 23andMe, Inc., <https://investors.23andme.com/>
 27 (last accessed Nov. 3, 2023). *See also* 23andMe Annual Report (Form 10-K) FY Mar. 31, 2023
 (May 25, 2023) (“FY 2022 10-K”) at 65.

28 ³ FY 2022 10-K at 69.

1 “Personal Genome Service” business, which purports to provide consumers “with a broad suite
2 of genetic reports, including information on customers’ genetic ancestral origins, personal genetic
3 health risks, and chances of passing on certain rare carrier conditions to their children, as well as
4 reports on how genetics can impact responses to medication.”⁴

5 4. This class action is brought on behalf of all citizens in the United States, who are
6 the victims of a targeted cyberattack on 23andMe that occurred approximately on August 11,
7 2023 or prior thereto (“the Data Breach”).

8 5. According to news reports, “[o]n August 11, a hacker on a known cybercrime
9 forum called Hydra advertised a set of 23andMe user data.”⁵ The hacker claimed “to have 300
10 terabytes of stolen 23andMe user data” that they would sell for \$50 million and offered to sell “a
11 subset of data” for between \$1,000 and \$10,000.⁶ The hacker also purportedly indicated that they
12 had contacted 23andMe, but the Company’s response was ineffectual.⁷ At least one person saw
13 the hacker’s August 11, 2023 post in the Hydra forum and sought to alert 23andMe users on an
14 unofficial 23andMe user forum on Reddit that same day.⁸

15 6. For nearly two months, Defendant did nothing in response to the August 11, 2023
16 Hydra and Reddit posts, leaving Plaintiff and Class Members uninformed about the Data Breach.

17 7. In early October 2023, 23andMe user data misappropriated in the Data Breach
18 appeared for sale on another hacking forum called BreachForums, including data that was claimed
19 to come from “one million 23andMe users of Jewish Ashkenazi descent and 100,000 23andMe
20 Chinese users.”⁹

21
22 ⁴ FY 2022 10-k at 92.

23 ⁵ Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai et al., *Hackers advertised 23andMe stolen data two months ago*,
24 TechCrunch (Oct. 10. 2023), <https://techcrunch.com/2023/10/10/hackers-advertised-23andme-stolen-data-two-months-ago/>.

25 ⁶ *Id.*

26 ⁷ *Id.*

27 ⁸ *Id.*

28 ⁹ *Id.*

1 8. Then, on October 6, 2023, 23andMe announced, via a blog post on its website (the
2 “October 6 Blog Post”), that the Company had “recently learned that certain 23andMe customer
3 profile information . . . was compiled from individual 23andMe.com accounts without the account
4 users’ authorization” as a result of “threat actors” being able to “access certain accounts.”¹⁰ The
5 October 6 Blog Post attempted to blame 23andMe users, expressing Defendant’s “belie[f]” that
6 the Data Breach was the result of “threat actors [who] were able to access certain accounts in
7 instances where users recycled login credentials—that is, usernames and passwords that were
8 used on 23andMe.com were the same as those used on other websites that have been previously
9 hacked.”¹¹

10 9. Defendant’s October 6 Blog Post did not provide any details on how many people
11 were affected by the Data Breach and failed to mention that, as a result of the Data Breach, hackers
12 had been selling a massive volume of 23andMe user data on the dark web for nearly two months.

13 10. Defendant updated its October 6 Blog Post on October 9, 2023 to report, among
14 other things, that the Company had only recently engaged a third-party forensic expert and was
15 “working with federal law enforcement.”¹² Then, on October 20, 2023, 23andMe announced that
16 it had temporarily disabled certain features on the DNA relatives tool. The October 6 Blog Post
17 and the two updates thereto failed to provide basic details concerning the Data Breach, including,
18 but not limited to, whether the breach was a system-wide breach, how many people were affected
19 by the Data Breach, and whether certain populations, ethnic groups, or other identifiable
20 categories of individuals were targeted in the cyberattack.

21 11. 23andMe knowingly collected individuals’ Private Information—notably
22 including the most sensitive of all information conceivable, an individual’s unique genetic
23 information—in confidence. As a result, 23andMe had a duty to secure, maintain, protect, and
24

25
26 ¹⁰ 23andMe Blog, *supra* note 1.

27 ¹¹ *Id.*

28 ¹² *Id.*

1 safeguard that Private Information against unauthorized access and disclosure through reasonable
2 and adequate security measures.

3 12. PHI is considered “the most confidential and valuable type of [PII] . . . irrevocable
4 once breached.”¹³ There can be no more confidential and valuable form of PHI than an
5 individual’s unique and immutable genetic information.

6 13. 23andMe was aware of methods that would provide additional, heightened
7 security that would safeguard its customers’ highly sensitive data from unauthorized access and
8 disclosure, including but not limited to requiring users to change their passwords frequently,
9 requiring the use of “strong” passwords, and mandating the use of multi-factor authentication
10 (“MFA”) that would require its customers to enter more information than just a single password
11 to access their accounts. Indeed, 23andMe acknowledges that while MFA “provides an extra layer
12 of security and can prevent bad actors from accessing an account through recycled passwords,” it
13 concedes that it only “offered and encouraged” use of MFA starting in 2019.¹⁴

14 14. As a result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff and Class Members suffered ascertainable
15 losses, including, but not limited to the value of their time spent to remedy or mitigate the effects
16 of the Data Breach, out-of-pocket expenses from responding to the breach, and the loss of
17 potential value of their private and confidential Private Information.

18 15. Plaintiff and Class Members entrusted their Private Information to 23andMe, its
19 officials, and agents. Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ Private Information was subsequently
20 compromised, unlawfully accessed, and stolen due to the Data Breach.

21
22 ¹³ Junyuan Ke, et al., *My Data or My Health? Heterogenous Patient Responses to Healthcare*
23 *Data Breach*, SSRN (Feb. 10, 2022), <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4029103>. (Under the Health
24 Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (“HIPAA”), 42 U.S.C. §§ 1320d, *et seq.*, PHI is
25 considered to be individually identifiable information relating to the past, present, or future health
26 status of an individual that is created, collected, or transmitted, or maintained by a HIPAA-
27 covered entity in relation to the provision of healthcare, payment for healthcare services, or use
in healthcare operations. 45 C.F.R. § 160.103. Health information such as diagnoses, treatment
information, medical test results, and prescription information are considered PHI under HIPAA,
as are genetic data, national identification numbers and demographic information such as birth
dates, gender, ethnicity, and contact and emergency contact information.) *See also Summary of*
the HIPAA Privacy Rule, U.S. Dep’t of Health & Human Servs., [https://www.hhs.gov/hipaa/for-](https://www.hhs.gov/hipaa/for-professionals/privacy/laws-regulations/index.html)
professionals/privacy/laws-regulations/index.html (last accessed Nov. 3, 2023).

28 ¹⁴ 23andMe Blog, *supra* note 1.

1 16. Plaintiff brings this class action lawsuit on behalf of himself and all others
 2 similarly situated to address 23andMe's inadequate safeguarding of Plaintiff's and Class
 3 Members' Private Information, its failure to provide adequate notice to Plaintiff and other Class
 4 Members of the unauthorized access to their Private Information by a cyber attacker, and its
 5 failure to provide adequate notice of precisely what information was accessed and stolen.

6 17. 23andMe breached its duties to Plaintiff and Class Members by maintaining
 7 Plaintiff's and the Class Members' Private Information in a negligent and reckless manner.

8 18. Upon information and belief, the means of the Data Breach and potential risk for
 9 improper disclosure of Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information were known and
 10 foreseeable to 23andMe. Thus, 23andMe was on notice that failing to take steps necessary to
 11 secure Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information from those risks left the Private
 12 Information in a dangerous and vulnerable condition.

13 19. 23andMe and its employees failed to properly monitor the computer network and
 14 systems housing the Private Information. 23andMe claims that it "is committed to providing you
 15 with a safe and secure place where you can learn about your DNA knowing your privacy is
 16 protected" and that it "take[s] security seriously."¹⁵ Moreover, the Company claims that:

17 [W]e exceed industry data protection standards and have achieved three different
 18 ISO certifications to demonstrate the strength of our security program. We actively
 19 and routinely monitor and audit our systems to ensure that your data is protected.
 20 When we receive information through those processes or from other sources
 21 claiming customer data has been accessed by unauthorized individuals, we
 22 immediately investigate to validate whether this information is accurate.¹⁶

23 20. However, 23andMe failed to detect and stop the Data Breach. Moreover, 23andMe
 24 continues not to require heightened security practices, including but not limited to mandating the
 25 use of MFA and strong passwords.¹⁷ Had 23andMe properly monitored its property and employed

26 ¹⁵ 23andMe Blog, *supra* note 1.

27 ¹⁶ *Id.*

28 ¹⁷ *Id.* (including, as mere "Recommendations," that customers use strong passwords and enable MFA).

appropriate security measures commensurate with the sensitivity of the Private Information, it would have discovered the intrusion sooner or been able to prevent it wholly.

21. Exacerbating an already devastating privacy intrusion, Plaintiff's and Class Members' identities are now at a heightened risk of exposure because of 23andMe's negligent conduct because the Private Information that 23andMe collected and stored is now in the hands of data thieves or other malicious actors who may use the unlawfully obtained Private Information to the detriment of Plaintiff and Class Members.

22. Armed with the Private Information accessed in the Data Breach, data thieves can now use that data to commit a variety of crimes, including using Class Members' genetic, health, and ethnic information to target other phishing and hacking intrusions based upon their individual health needs or ethnic backgrounds. Moreover, data thieves or malicious actors who may have purchased or otherwise obtained Private Information from those who stole it may use that data to target Plaintiff and Class Members with violence or threats of harm based on animus toward members of particular ethnic groups. Indeed, the fact that initial leaks of Private Information stolen in the Data Breach and "advertised [for sale] on BreachForums allegedly contain one million 23andMe users of Jewish Ashkenazi descent and 100,000 23andMe Chinese users"¹⁸ has prompted at least one State Attorney General to observe that "the increased frequency of antisemitic and anti-Asian rhetoric and violence in recent years means that this may be a particularly dangerous time for such targeted information to be released to the public."¹⁹

23. As a direct result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered fraud and will continue to be exposed to a heightened and imminent risk of fraud and identity theft, potentially for the rest of their lives. Plaintiff and Class Members must now and in the future

¹⁸ Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai et al., *Hackers advertised 23andMe stolen data two months ago*, *supra* note 5.

¹⁹ William Tong, Att'y Gen. of Connecticut, Letter to Jacquie Cooke, General Counsel and Privacy Officer for 23andMe re: Data Breach (Oct. 30, 2023), https://portal.ct.gov/-/media/AG/Press_Releases/2023/10-30-2023-William-Tong--23andMe-Inc-Inquiry-Letter-final-002.pdf.

1 closely monitor their medical, healthcare, insurance, and financial accounts to guard against
2 identity theft and potential healthcare frauds.

3 24. Plaintiff and Class Members may also incur out-of-pocket costs for purchasing
4 protective measures to deter and detect identity theft and/or healthcare related fraud, as well as
5 protective measures to mitigate against the misuse of their genetic information and related PHI.

6 25. As a direct and proximate result of the Data Breach and subsequent exposure of
7 their Private Information, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered, and will continue to suffer,
8 damages and economic losses in the form of lost time needed to take appropriate measures to
9 avoid the misuse of their Private Information including genetic information and PHI, potential
10 unauthorized and fraudulent charges, and dealing with spam phone calls, letters, text messages,
11 and emails received as a result of the Data Breach and the unauthorized disclosure and misuse of
12 their Private Information.

13 26. Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered, and will continue to suffer, an invasion
14 of their property interest in their own Private Information, such that they will be entitled to
15 damages from 23andMe for unauthorized access to, theft of, and misuse of their Private
16 Information.

17 27. These harms are ongoing, and Plaintiff and Class Members will suffer from future
18 damages associated with the unauthorized use and misuse of their Private Information, as data
19 thieves and malicious actors who purchase the stolen Private Information will continue to use the
20 information to the detriment of Plaintiff and Class Members for several years, if not forever.

21 28. Plaintiff seeks to remedy these harms on behalf of all similarly situated individuals
22 whose Private Information was accessed, compromised, and/or stolen during the Data Breach.

23 29. Accordingly, Plaintiff brings this action, on behalf of himself and all others
24 similarly situated, against 23andMe seeking redress for its unlawful conduct asserting claims for
25 (1) negligence, (2) negligence *per se*, (3) breach of implied contract, (4) breach of fiduciary duty,
26 and (5) unjust enrichment.

PARTIES

A. Plaintiff

30. Plaintiff Brandon Molina is an individual citizen and resident of Texas who is a victim of the Data Breach.

B. Defendant

31. Defendant 23andMe is a business incorporated under the laws of the state of Delaware with its principal place of business in California at 223 North Mathilda Avenue, Sunnyvale, California 94086. 23andMe is a genetic testing company that designs its products in California, and its marketing efforts emanate from California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

32. This Court has jurisdiction over this action and the parties.

33. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). The amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million, exclusive of interest and costs, there are more than one hundred members in the proposed class, and at least one member of the class is a citizen of a state different from Defendant.

34. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because Defendant is headquartered in California and within this District, has its principal place of business in Santa Clara County, California and within this District, and it regularly conducts business in California and within this District.

35. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant's principal place of business is located in this District and a substantial part of the events, acts, and omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in, was directed to, and/or emanated from this District.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

36. Assignment to the San Jose Division of this District is proper pursuant to Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 3-2(c) because 23andMe has its principal place of business in Santa Clara County, California, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the

claims asserted herein occurred in Santa Clara County, and under Civil Local Rule 3-2(e), all civil actions which arise in Santa Clara County shall be assigned to the San Jose Division.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Defendant 23andMe's Business

37. 23andMe purports to be a leading consumer genetics and research company, founded in 2006, that describes its mission as helping people access, understand, and benefit from the human genome. According to the "Corporate Profile" on its website, 23andMe "want[s] to disrupt the healthcare experience by building a personalized health and wellness experience that caters uniquely to the individual by harnessing the power of their DNA" and touts itself as having "pioneered direct access to genetic information" as "the only company with multiple FDA clearances for genetic health reports."²⁰

38. As stated in its last annual report filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, as of March 31, 2023, 23andMe has approximately 14.1 million customers who have supplied their Private Information to the Company.²¹

39. This Private Information includes genetic information provided by individuals since 2006 in connection with the Company's "Personal Genome Service" business, which purports to provide consumers "with a broad suite of genetic reports, including information on customers' genetic ancestral origins, personal genetic health risks, and chances of passing on certain rare carrier conditions to their children, as well as reports on how genetics can impact responses to medication."²²

B. The Collection of Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information is Central to 23andMe's Business

40. In order for 23andMe to offer its services to customers including Plaintiff and the Class Members, Plaintiff and Class Members were required to transfer possession of their Private

²⁰ 23andMe Investor Relations, *supra* note 2.

²¹ FY 2022 10-K at 69.

²² *Id.* at 92.

Information—specifically including personal genetic material—to 23andMe. 23andMe thereby acquires and electronically stores Private Information provided to it by its customers. Accordingly, 23andMe was required to ensure that Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ Private Information was not disclosed or disseminated to unauthorized third parties.

41. Through the possession and use of Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ Private Information, 23andMe assumed duties owed to Plaintiff and Class Members regarding the care and safeguarding of their Private Information. Therefore, 23andMe knew or should have known that it was responsible for safeguarding Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ Private Information from unauthorized access and misuse.

42. 23andMe has publicly touted its data security and cybersecurity abilities, including stating that the Company “is committed to providing you with a safe and secure place where you can learn about your DNA knowing your privacy is protected” and that it “take[s] security seriously.”²³

43. 23andMe assures customers that “[y]our privacy comes first.”²⁴ “When you explore your DNA with 23andMe, you entrust us with important personal information. That’s why, since day one, protecting your privacy has been our number one priority. We’re committed to providing you with a safe place where you can learn about your DNA knowing your privacy is protected.”²⁵

44. 23andMe’s customers are also told that their genetic data will not be shared with third parties “without your explicit consent” and that “[y]our data is fiercely protected by security practices that are regularly reviewed and updated” and the Company is “doing everything in our power to keep your personal data safe.”²⁶

²³ 23andMe Blog, *supra* note 1.

²⁴ 23andMe Privacy, *Privacy and Data Protection*, 23andMe, Inc., <https://www.23andme.com/privacy/> (last accessed Nov. 3, 2023).

²⁵ *Id.*

²⁶ *Id.*

45. Plaintiff and Class Members relied on 23andMe to keep their Private Information secure and safeguarded against unauthorized access and disclosure to unauthorized persons. 23andMe owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to secure their Private Information and ultimately breached that duty.

C. The Data Breach

46. According to news reports, on or about August 11, 2023, “a hacker on a known cybercrime forum called Hydra advertised a set of 23andMe user data.”²⁷ The hacker claimed “to have 300 terabytes of stolen 23andMe user data” that it would sell for \$50 million, and offered to sell “a subset of data” for between \$1,000 and \$10,000.²⁸ The hacker also purportedly indicated that they had contacted 23andMe, ““but instead of taking the matter seriously, [the Company] asked irrelevant questions.””²⁹ At least one person saw the hacker’s August 11, 2023 post in the Hydra forum and sought to alert 23andMe users on an unofficial 23andMe user forum on Reddit that same day.³⁰

47. In early October 2023, 23andMe user data misappropriated in the Data Breach appeared for sale on another hacking forum called BreachForums, including data that was claimed to come from “one million 23andMe users of Jewish Ashkenazi descent and 100,000 23andMe Chinese users.”³¹

48. Defendant did not acknowledge or address the Data Breach until October 6, 2023, when it posted the October 6 Blog Post on its website. The October 6 Blog Post states:

We recently learned that certain 23andMe customer profile information that they opted into sharing through our DNA Relatives feature, was compiled from individual 23andMe.com accounts without the account users’ authorization.

²⁷ Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai et al., *Hackers advertised 23andMe stolen data two months ago*, *supra* note 5.

²⁸ *Id.*

²⁹ *Id.*

³⁰ *Id.*

³¹ *Id.*

After learning of suspicious activity, we immediately began an investigation. While we are continuing to investigate this matter, we believe threat actors were able to access certain accounts in instances where users recycled login credentials – that is, usernames and passwords that were used on 23andMe.com were the same as those used on other websites that have been previously hacked.

We believe that the threat actor may have then, in violation of our Terms of Service, accessed 23andMe.com accounts without authorization and obtained information from certain accounts, including information about users’ DNA Relatives profiles, to the extent a user opted into that service.

Committed to Safety and Security

23andMe is committed to providing you with a safe and secure place where you can learn about your DNA knowing your privacy is protected. We are continuing to investigate to confirm these preliminary results. We do not have any indication at this time that there has been a data security incident within our systems, or that 23andMe was the source of the account credentials used in these attacks.

At 23andMe, we take security seriously. We exceed industry data protection standards and have achieved three different ISO certifications to demonstrate the strength of our security program. We actively and routinely monitor and audit our systems to ensure that your data is protected. When we receive information through those processes or from other sources claiming customer data has been accessed by unauthorized individuals, we immediately investigate to validate whether this information is accurate. Since 2019 we’ve offered and encouraged users to use multi-factor authentication (MFA), which provides an extra layer of security and can prevent bad actors from accessing an account through recycled passwords.

Recommendations

We encourage our customers to take as much action to keep their account and password secure. Out of caution, we recommend taking the following steps:

- Confirm you have a strong password, one that is not easy to guess and that is unique to your 23andMe account. If you are not sure whether you have a strong password for your account, reset it by following the steps outlined here.
- Please be sure to enable multi-factor authentication (MFA) on your 23andMe account. You can enable MFA by following the steps outlined here.
- Review our Privacy and Security Checkup page with additional information on how to keep your account secure.³²

49. While the October 6 Blog Post did not expressly indicate the scope of the Data Breach in terms of the numbers of users affected or recite the categories of Private Information that were exposed, compromised, and stolen by unauthorized third parties, the categories of information in the “DNA Relatives feature” referenced by Defendant include:

³² 23andMe Blog, *supra* note 1.

- i. Names;
- ii. Sex
- iii. Dates of Birth;
- iv. Genetic Information that includes (but is not limited to);
 1. Maternal and Paternal Haplogroup results;
 2. Neanderthal Ancestry results;
- v. Predicted relationships with genetic matches;
- vi. Ancestry reports;
- vii. Ancestors' birth locations and family names;
- viii. Family tree information;
- ix. Profile pictures; and
- x. Geographic location.³³

50. The October 6 Blog Post, and subsequent updates thereto also do not include information about the cause of the Data Breach, the vulnerabilities exploited, and any remedial measures taken to ensure that such a breach does not occur again.

51. 23andMe's notice to Plaintiff and Class Members was untimely and woefully deficient, failing to provide basic details concerning the Data Breach, including but not limited to how unauthorized third parties were able to access Private Information, what Private Information was in fact compromised, and how many people were affected by the Data Breach.

52. Given the criminal nature of the cybersecurity attack and Data Breach, Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information is now for sale to criminals on the dark web—as was first shown by the August 11, 2023 posts on the Hydra message board—meaning unauthorized parties have for months accessed and viewed Plaintiff's and Class Members' unencrypted, unredacted Private Information, including their highly sensitive genetic data and more.

³³ 23andMe Customer Care, *DNA Relatives Privacy & Display Settings*, 23andMe, Inc., <https://customercare.23andme.com/hc/en-us/articles/212170838> (last accessed Nov. 1, 2023).

D. Plaintiff's Experience Following the Data Breach

Brandon Molina

53. Plaintiff Molina purchased a 23andMe kit in early 2017. In approximately May of 2017, he provided a sample of his genetic material to 23andMe for testing. Mr. Molina was required to provide his Private Information, including his genetic material, to 23andMe in order to become a customer of 23andMe. At the time of the Data Breach, Mr. Molina's Private Information was maintained on 23andMe's computer systems.

54. On or about October 9, 2023, Mr. Molina received an email from 23andMe providing general information about the Data Breach that was substantially similar to the October 6 Blog Post. The October 9, 2023 email gave little information about the Data Breach, did not state that Mr. Molina's Private Information was compromised and reiterated many identical representations about the Company's internal security practices that 23andMe made in the October 6 Blog Post.

55. On or about October 24, 2023, Mr. Molina received a second email from 23andMe in which the Company notified Mr. Molina that certain of his Private Information was "exposed to the threat actor" in the Data Breach. Even after a more than delay in informing Mr. Molina that he was, in fact, a victim of the Data Breach, 23andMe provided limited information to Mr. Molina about how he was impacted and again touted 23andMe's security practices, including those mentioned in the October 6 Blog Post.

56. After being first notified of the existence of the Data Breach in early October, Mr. Molina spent time responding to the Data Breach. Among other things, he sought information about the Data Breach to confirm that the October 9, 2023 email from 23andMe was not a "phishing" scam seeking to steal his login credentials, unsuccessfully attempted to reset his 23andMe password, attempted to find information about the nature of the Data Breach, and attempted to identify what specific information of his had been stolen in the Data Breach.

57. Mr. Molina is extremely concerned about how the theft of his highly sensitive 23andMe Private Information may impact him, including with respect to the security of his other online accounts, his personal healthcare information, and the associated risks of identity theft,

1 healthcare fraud, or potentially harassing contacts based on his ethnic or health-related genetic
2 information exposed in the Data Breach. Moreover, Mr. Molina is anxious because he believes
3 that Defendant betrayed his trust and failed to maintain properly the privacy of his Private
4 Information and prevent unauthorized access to that Private Information.

5 58. The Private Information that was accessed in the Data Breach was the kind of
6 sensitive information that can be used to commit fraud and identity theft. It is reasonable and
7 foreseeable that Mr. Molina would take, and will continue to take, necessary measures to protect
8 his Private Information.

9 59. Mr. Molina has a continuing interest in ensuring that his Private Information,
10 which, upon information and belief, remains in 23andMe's possession, is protected and
11 safeguarded from further and future breaches.

12 60. Mr. Molina suffered actual injury in the form of damages to and loss of potential
13 value of his Private Information—a form of intangible property that Mr. Molina entrusted to
14 23andMe for the purpose of receiving healthcare services, which was compromised in, and as a
15 result, of the Data Breach.

16 61. Mr. Molina has also suffered actual injury in the form of:

- 17 i. Lost time by researching the impacts of the Data Breach and having to deal
18 with the consequences of the Data Breach, including attempting to reset
19 his 23andMe password and reviewing and monitoring his online accounts
20 and other health records]; and
- 21 ii. Dealing every day with the anxiety borne from the potential of harassment,
22 unwanted solicitation, and numerous scammer telephone calls and emails
23 every day. This is time Mr. Molina otherwise would have spent performing
24 other activities or leisurely events for the enjoyment of life.

25 62. As a result of the Data Breach, Mr. Molina has suffered emotional distress as a
26 result of the release of his Private Information, including anxiety, concern, and unease about
27 unauthorized parties viewing, and using his Private Information.

63. As a result of the Data Breach, Mr. Molina will continue to be at heightened risk for harassment, financial fraud, medical fraud, and identity theft, and the attendant damages, for years to come.

E. The Healthcare Sector Is Particularly Susceptible to Cyberattacks

64. As a company that holds itself out as seeking to “disrupt the healthcare experience by building a personalized health and wellness experience that caters uniquely to the individual by harnessing the power of their DNA,” 23andMe was or should have been on notice that the Federal Bureau of Investigation (“FBI”) has been concerned about data security in the healthcare and genetic information sector. In August 2014, after a cyberattack on Community Health Systems, Inc., the FBI warned companies within the healthcare industry that hackers were targeting them. The warning stated that “[t]he FBI has observed malicious actors targeting healthcare related systems, perhaps for the purpose of obtaining Protected Healthcare Information (PHI) and/or Personally Identifiable Information (PII).”³⁴

65. The American Medical Association (“AMA”) has also warned healthcare companies about the importance of protecting their patients’ confidential information:

Cybersecurity is not just a technical issue; it’s a patient safety issue. AMA research has revealed that 83% of physicians work in a practice that has experienced some kind of cyberattack. Unfortunately, practices are learning that cyberattacks not only threaten the privacy and security of patients’ health and financial information, but also patient access to care.³⁵

66. The number of U.S. data breaches surpassed 1,000 in 2016, a record high and a forty percent increase in the number of data breaches from the previous year.³⁶ In 2022, 1,802

³⁴ Jim Finkle, *FBI warns healthcare firms that they are targeted by hackers*, Reuters (Aug. 20, 2014), <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-cybersecurity-healthcare-fbi/fbi-warns-healthcare-firms-they-are-targeted-by-hackers-idUSKBN0GK24U20140820>.

³⁵ Andis Robeznieks, *Cybersecurity: Ransomware attacks shut down clinics, hospitals*, Am. Med. Ass’n (Oct. 4, 2019), <https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/sustainability/cybersecurity-ransomware-attacks-shut-down-clinics-hospitals> (emphasis omitted).

³⁶ Press Release, *Data Breaches Increase 40 Percent in 2016, Finds New Report From Identity Theft Resource Center and CyberScout*, CyberScout, Cision PR Newswire (Jan. 19, 2017), <https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/data-breaches-increase-40-percent-in-2016-finds-new-report-from-identity-theft-resource-center-and-cyberscout-300393208.html>.

1 data compromises that impacted over 422 million victims were reported, marking a forty-two
2 percent increase in the number of victims impacted since 2021.³⁷ That upward trend continues.

3 67. The healthcare sector reported the second largest number of breaches among all
4 measured sectors in 2018, with the highest rate of exposure per breach.³⁸ Indeed, when
5 compromised, healthcare related data is among the most sensitive and personally consequential,
6 genetic data by virtue of its immutable nature, more so.

7 68. A report focusing on healthcare breaches found that the “average total cost to
8 resolve an identity theft-related incident . . . came to about \$20,000,” and the victims were often
9 forced to pay out-of-pocket costs for healthcare they did not receive in order to restore coverage.³⁹
10 Almost 50% of the victims lost their healthcare coverage as a result of the incident, while nearly
11 thirty percent said their insurance premiums went up after the event. Forty percent of the
12 customers were never able to resolve their identity theft at all. Data breaches and identity theft
13 have a crippling effect on individuals and a detrimental impact on the economy as a whole.⁴⁰

14 69. Healthcare related data breaches also come at a cost to the breached entities.
15 According to IBM’s 2023 Cost of a Data Breach Report, the healthcare sector reported the highest
16 data breach costs for the thirteenth year in a row in 2023—increasing 8.2% from \$10.10 million
17 in 2022 to \$10.93 million in 2023.⁴¹ This cost should only further create incentive for service
18 providers such as 23andMe both to invest in and implement reasonable and adequate security
19 measures to avoid financial repercussions in the event of a breach.

20
21 ³⁷ Identity Theft Resource Center, *2022 Data Breach Report*, Identity Theft Res. Ctr. (Jan. 2023),
22 https://www.idtheftcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/ITRC_2022-Data-Breach-Report_Final-1.pdf.

23 ³⁸ Identity Theft Resource Center, *2018 End-of-Year Data Breach Report*, Identity Theft Res. Ctr.
24 (2019), https://www.idtheftcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/ITRC_2018-End-of-Year-Aftermath_FINALWEB-V2-2.pdf.

25 ³⁹ Elinor Mills, *Study: Medical identity theft is costly for victims*, CNET (Mar. 3, 2010),
26 <https://www.cnet.com/news/study-medical-identity-theft-is-costly-for-victims/>.

27 ⁴⁰ *Id.*

28 ⁴¹ IBM, *Cost of a Data Breach Report 2023*, IBM at 13, <https://www.ibm.com/downloads/cas/E3G5JMBP>.

70. Healthcare related data breaches have continued to rapidly increase. According to the 2019 HIMSS Cybersecurity Survey, eighty-two percent of participating hospital information security leaders reported having a significant security incident in the last twelve months, with a majority of these known incidents being caused by “bad actors” such as cybercriminals.⁴²

Hospitals have emerged as a primary target because they sit on a gold mine of sensitive personally identifiable information (PII) for thousands of patients at any given time. From social security and insurance policies to next of kin and credit cards, no other organization, including credit bureaus, have so much monetizable information stored in their data centers.⁴³

71. As an entity whose entire business model depends on the handling, storing, and safeguarding of PII and PHI—notably including genetic information, which is perhaps the most unique, critical, and sensitive type of Private Information, 23andMe knew, or reasonably should have known, the importance of safeguarding the Private Information entrusted to it, and of the foreseeable consequences if its data security systems were breached. 23andMe failed, however, to take adequate cybersecurity measures to prevent the Data Breach from occurring.

F. The Value of Private Information and the Effects of Unauthorized Disclosure

72. At all relevant times, 23andMe knew that the Private Information it collects from Plaintiff and Class Members is highly sensitive, immutable, and of significant value to those who would use it for wrongful purposes.

73. Private Information is a valuable commodity to cyber attackers. As the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) recognizes, identity thieves can use this information to commit an array of crimes including identity theft, and medical and financial fraud.⁴⁴ Indeed, a robust “cyber

⁴² HIMSS, *2019 HIMSS Cybersecurity Survey*, HIMSS (2019) at 4, https://www.himss.org/sites/hde/files/d7/u132196/2019_HIMSS_Cybersecurity_Survey_Final_Report.pdf.

⁴³ Eyal Benishti, *How to Safeguard Hospital Data from Email Spoofing Attacks*, Chief Healthcare Exec. (Apr. 4, 2019), <https://www.chiefhealthcareexecutive.com/view/how-to-safeguard-hospital-data-from-email-spoofing-attacks>.

⁴⁴ FTC Consumer Advice, *What to Know About Identity Theft*, Fed. Trade Comm’n, <https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/0271-warning-signs-identity-theft> (last accessed Nov. 6, 2023).

black market” exists in which criminals openly post stolen Private Information on multiple underground websites, commonly referred to as the dark web.

74. While credit card information and associated PII can sell for as little as \$1-\$2 on the black market, PHI can sell for as much as \$363.⁴⁵ In this particular instance, hackers have specifically offered for sale 300TB of 23andMe data for \$50 million, with certain subsets of data available for between \$1,000 and \$10,000.⁴⁶ Moreover, 23andMe recently entered into an agreement with the pharmaceutical giant GSK Plc to sell one year of non-exclusive access to 23andMe customer data for \$20 million.⁴⁷

75. PHI is particularly valuable because criminals can use it to target victims with frauds and scams that take advantage of the victim’s genetic makeup, ancestry or lineage, medical conditions, or victim settlements. It can also be used to create fake insurance claims, purchase and resell medical equipment, or gain access to prescriptions for illegal use or resale.

76. Genetic identity theft can result in inaccuracies in medical records and costly false claims. It can also have life-threatening consequences. If a victim’s health information is mixed with other records, it can lead to misdiagnosis or mistreatment. “Medical identity theft is a growing and dangerous crime that leaves its victims with little to no recourse for recovery,” reported Pam Dixon, executive director of World Privacy Forum. According to Dixon, “Victims often experience financial repercussions, and worse yet, they frequently discover erroneous information has been added to their personal medical files due to the thief’s activities.”⁴⁸

⁴⁵ Center For Internet Security, *Data Breaches: In the Healthcare Sector*, Ctr. for Internet Sec., <https://www.cisecurity.org/blog/data-breaches-in-the-healthcare-sector/> (last accessed Nov. 6, 2023).

⁴⁶ Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai et al., *Hackers advertised 23andMe stolen data two months ago*, *supra* note 5.

⁴⁷ Press Release, 23andMe, *23andMe Announces Collaboration Extension with a New Data Licensing Agreement with GSK*, 23andMe, Inc. (Oct. 30, 2023), <https://investors.23andme.com/node/8996/pdf>.

⁴⁸ Michael Ollove, *The Rise of Medical Identity Theft in Healthcare*, KFF Health News (Feb. 7, 2014), <https://khn.org/news/rise-of-identity-theft>.

77. The ramifications of 23andMe's failures to keep Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information secure are long lasting and severe. Once Private Information is stolen, fraudulent use of that information and damage to victims may continue for years and here, potentially for the rest of the victims' lives given that one's genetic information is immutable by nature and utterly irreplaceable. Fraudulent activity might not show up for six to 12 months or even longer.

78. Further, criminals often trade stolen Private Information on the "cyber black-market" for years following a breach. Cybercriminals can post stolen Private Information on the internet, thereby making such information publicly available.

79. Many victims do not realize their identity has been compromised until years after it has happened.⁴⁹ This gives thieves ample time to seek multiple treatments under the victim's name and perpetuate elaborate, costly frauds. Most consumers find out they were a victim of medical identity theft only when they receive collection letters from creditors for expenses that were incurred in their names.⁵⁰

80. As a company whose entire business model is dependent on the collection and use of highly sensitive Private Information including genetic information, 23andMe knew, or reasonably should have known, the importance of safeguarding Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information and the foreseeable consequences if its data security systems were breached. Those consequences include the significant costs that would be imposed on Plaintiff and Class Members as a result of a breach. 23andMe failed, however, to take adequate cybersecurity measures to prevent the Data Breach from occurring.

G. 23andMe Failed to Comply with FTC Guidelines

81. 23andMe was also prohibited by the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTCA") from engaging in "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce."⁵¹ The FTC has

⁴⁹ IdentityForce, *Medical ID Theft Checklist*, IdentityForce (Jan. 12, 2023), <https://www.identityforce.com/blog/medical-id-theft-checklist-2>.

⁵⁰ *Id.*

⁵¹ 15 U.S.C. § 45(a)(1).

1 concluded that a company's failure to maintain reasonable and appropriate data security for
2 consumers' sensitive personal information is an "unfair practice" in violation of the FTCA.⁵²

3 82. The FTC has promulgated numerous guides for businesses that highlight the
4 importance of implementing reasonable data security practices. According to the FTC, the need
5 for data security should be factored into all business decision-making.⁵³

6 83. In 2016, the FTC updated its publication, *Protecting Personal Information: A*
7 *Guide for Business*, which established cybersecurity guidelines for businesses.⁵⁴ The guidelines
8 set forth that businesses should protect the personal customer information that they keep; properly
9 dispose of personal information that is no longer needed; encrypt information stored on computer
10 networks; understand its network's vulnerabilities; and implement policies to correct any security
11 problems.⁵⁵

12 84. The FTC further recommends that companies not maintain Private Information
13 longer than is needed for authorization of a transaction; limit access to private data; require
14 complex passwords to be used on networks; use industry-tested methods for security; monitor for
15 suspicious activity on the network; and verify that third-party service providers have implemented
16 reasonable security measures.

17 85. The FTC has brought enforcement actions against businesses for failing to
18 adequately and reasonably protect customer data, treating the failure to employ reasonable and
19 appropriate measures to protect against unauthorized access to confidential consumer data as an
20 unfair act or practice prohibited by Section 5 of the FTCA, 15 U.S.C. § 45. Further orders resulting
21 from these actions clarify the measures businesses must take to meet data security obligations.

22
23 ⁵² See, e.g., *In re Cap. One Consumer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, 488 F. Supp. 3d 374, 407 (E.D. Va. 2020) (citing *FTC v. Wyndham Worldwide Corp.*, 799 F.3d 236 (3d Cir. 2015)).

24 ⁵³ FTC, *Start With Security: A Guide for Business, Lessons Learned From FTC Cases*, Fed. Trade
25 Comm'n (Jun. 2015), <https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/pdf0205-startwithsecurity.pdf>.

26 ⁵⁴ FTC, *Protecting Personal Information: A Guide for Business*, Fed. Trade Comm'n (Oct. 2016),
27 https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/pdf-0136_proteting-personal-information.pdf.

28 ⁵⁵ *Id.*

1 86. 23andMe failed to properly implement basic data security practices. 23andMe's
2 failure to employ reasonable and appropriate measures to protect against unauthorized access to
3 Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information constitutes an unfair act or practice prohibited
4 by Section 5 of the FTCA, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

5 87. 23andMe was fully aware of its obligations to protect the Private Information of
6 Plaintiff and Class Members because of its position as a service provider whose business centers
7 on the collection, storage, and safeguarding of PII and PHI. 23andMe was also aware of the
8 significant repercussions that would result from its failure to make good on those obligations.

9
10 **H. Cyber Criminals Have and Will Continue to Use Plaintiff's and Class
Members' PII and PHI for Nefarious Purposes**

11 88. Plaintiff's and Class Members' highly sensitive Private Information is of great
12 value to cybercriminals, who can use the data stolen in the Data Breach to exploit Plaintiff and
13 the Class Members and profit off their misfortune and stolen information. The cybercriminals'
14 motives for the Data Breach were purely nefarious and malicious in nature: their one goal was to
15 access systems, including 23andMe's systems, in order to obtain valuable PII and PHI to sell on
16 the dark web. Indeed, hackers likely have been selling 23andMe customers' Private Information
17 on the dark web since approximately August 11, 2023.

18 89. Every year, identity theft causes tens of billions of dollars of losses to victims in
19 the United States.⁵⁶ Those losses occur when identity thieves open financial accounts, apply for
20 credit, file fraudulent tax returns, commit crimes, create false driver's licenses and other forms of
21 identification and sell them to other criminals or undocumented immigrants, steal government
22 benefits, give breach victims' names to police during arrests, and many other harmful forms of
23 identity theft.⁵⁷ Plaintiff and Class Members are at risk of suffering those same losses. Those

24
25 ⁵⁶ Insurance Information Institute, *Facts + Statistics: Identity Theft and Cybercrime*, Ins. Info.
26 Inst. (2023), <https://www.iii.org/fact-statistic/facts-statistics-identity-theft-and-cybercrime> (last
accessed Nov. 6, 2023).

27 ⁵⁷ Christine DiGangi, *5 Ways an Identity Thief Can Use Your Social Security Number*, USA Today
28 (Nov. 15, 2017), <https://www.usatoday.com/story/money/personalfinance/2017/11/15/5-ways-identity-thief-can-use-your-social-security-number/860643001/>.

1 criminal activities have and will result in devastating financial and personal losses to Plaintiff and
2 Class Members.

3 90. PII is such a valuable commodity to identity thieves that, once it has been
4 compromised, criminals will use it and trade the information on the cyber black-market for years.

5 91. Those risks are both certainly impending and substantial. As the FTC has reported,
6 if cyber attackers get access to PII, they will use it.⁵⁸

7 92. Cyber attackers may not use the information right away. According to the U.S.
8 Government Accountability Office, which conducted a study regarding data breaches:

9 [I]n some cases, stolen data may be held for up to a year or more before being used
10 to commit identity theft. Further, once stolen data have been sold or posted on the
11 Web, fraudulent use of that information may continue for years. As a result, studies
that attempt to measure the harm resulting from data breaches cannot necessarily
rule out all future harm.⁵⁹

12 93. If cyber criminals manage to access PII, health insurance information, and other
13 personally sensitive data, as is the case with this Data Breach, there is no limit to the amount of
14 fraud to which 23andMe may have exposed Plaintiff and Class Members.

15 **I. Plaintiff and Class Members Suffered Damages**

16 94. The ramifications of 23andMe's failures to keep Plaintiff's and Class Members'
17 Private Information secure are long lasting and severe. Once Private Information is stolen,
18 fraudulent use of that information and damage to victims may continue for years. Consumer
19 victims of data breaches are more likely to become victims of identity fraud.⁶⁰

20 95. In addition to their obligations under state laws and regulations, 23andMe owed a
21 common law duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to protect Private Information entrusted to it,

22
23 ⁵⁸ Ari Lazarus, *How fast will identity thieves use stolen info?*, Military Consumer (May 24, 2017),
<https://www.militaryconsumer.gov/blog/how-fast-will-identity-thieves-use-stolen-info>.

24 ⁵⁹ U.S. Government Accountability Office, *Personal Information: Data Breaches Are Frequent,*
25 *but Evidence of Resulting Identity Theft Is Limited; However, the Full Extent Is Unknown*, GAO-
07-737, Gov't Accountability Off. (Jul. 5, 2007) at 29, <https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-07-737.pdf>.
26

27 ⁶⁰ LexisNexis, *2014 LexisNexis True Cost of Fraud Study, Post-Recession Revenue Growth*
28 *Hampered by Fraud as All Merchants Face Higher Costs*, LexisNexis (Aug. 2014) at 6,
<https://risk.lexisnexis.com/-/media/files/corporations%20and%20non%20profits/research/true-cost-fraud-2014%20pdf.pdf>.

1 including to exercise reasonable care in obtaining, retaining, securing, safeguarding, deleting, and
2 protecting the Private Information in its possession from being compromised, lost, stolen,
3 accessed, and misused by unauthorized parties. That duty extends to 23andMe's obligations to
4 conduct ongoing, robust due diligence into its routine security practices.

5 96. 23andMe further owed and breached its duties to Plaintiff and Class Members to
6 implement processes and specifications that would detect a breach of its security systems in a
7 timely manner and to act timely upon warnings and alerts, including those generated by its own
8 security systems. Instead of implementing such processes and specifications, 23andMe allowed
9 the Data Breach to go undetected for an unknown period of time before recognizing unusual
10 activity.

11 97. As a direct result of 23andMe's intentional, willful, reckless, and negligent
12 conduct which resulted in the Data Breach, cyber attackers were able to access, acquire, view,
13 publicize, and/or otherwise cause the identity theft and misuse of Plaintiff's and Class Members'
14 Private Information as detailed above, and Plaintiff and Class Members are now at a heightened
15 risk of harassment, identity theft, and healthcare and insurance fraud.

16 98. The risks associated with identity theft are serious. While some identity theft
17 victims can resolve their problems quickly, others spend hundreds of dollars and many days
18 repairing damage to their good name and credit record. Some consumers victimized by identity
19 theft may lose out on job opportunities or be denied loans for education, housing, or cars because
20 of negative information on their credit reports. In rare cases, they may even be arrested for crimes
21 they did not commit.

22 99. In this case, other risks beyond identity theft exist due to the disclosure of highly
23 sensitive genetic information, such as the unauthorized access to and/or disclosure of individuals'
24 ethnic heritage and/or revealing an individuals' potentially heightened risk for certain health
25 problems. Publicly outing this sensitive information increases the risk of harassment, threats, or
26 unwanted marketing from malicious actors aligning their malicious or unwanted outreach to
27 information contained in one's private genetic profile. Unlike replacing a stolen credit card in the
28 case of a financial fraud due to identity theft, genetic data by its nature is fixed, and as such, once

1 a fraud using stolen genetic information is perpetrated, an affected individual has little to no
2 recourse to undo the theft because genetic information is immutable.

3 100. Plaintiff and Class Members did not receive the full benefit of the bargain for
4 received services. As a result, Plaintiff and Class Members were damaged in an amount at least
5 equal to the difference in the value of the genetic information services they paid for and the
6 services they received without the data security protection.

7 101. As a result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information
8 has lost potential value.

9 102. The Private Information belonging to Plaintiff and Class Members is private in
10 nature and was left inadequately protected by 23andMe. 23andMe did not obtain Plaintiff's or
11 Class Members' consent to disclose such Private Information to any other person as required by
12 applicable law and industry standards.

13 103. The Data Breach was a direct and proximate result of 23andMe's failure to
14 (1) properly safeguard and protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information from
15 unauthorized access, use, and disclosure, as required by various state and federal regulations,
16 industry practices, and common law, (2) establish and implement appropriate administrative,
17 technical, and physical safeguards to ensure the security and confidentiality of Plaintiff's and
18 Class Members' Private Information, and (3) protect against reasonably foreseeable threats to the
19 security or integrity of such information. Among other things, 23andMe failed to employ
20 measures that the Company acknowledges provide heightened security such as requiring
21 customers to use MFA, frequently change passwords, and use strong passwords associated with
22 their 23andMe accounts.

23 104. 23andMe had the resources necessary to prevent the Data Breach but neglected to
24 adequately implement data security measures, despite its obligation to protect genetic data.

25 105. Had 23andMe remedied the deficiencies in its data security systems and adopted
26 security measures recommended by experts in the field, it would have prevented the intrusions
27 into their systems and, ultimately, the theft of Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information.
28

106. As a direct and proximate result of 23andMe’s wrongful actions and inactions, Plaintiff and Class Members have been placed at an imminent, immediate, and continuing increased risk of harm from identity theft and fraud, requiring them to take the time which they otherwise would have dedicated to other life demands such as work and family in an effort to mitigate the actual and potential impact of the Data Breach on their lives.

107. The U.S. Department of Justice’s (“DOJ”) Bureau of Justice Statistics found that “among victims who had personal information used for fraudulent purposes, 29% spent a month or more resolving problems” and that “[r]esolving the problems caused by identity theft [could] take more than a year for some victims.”⁶¹ The costs for dealing with the theft of genetic information may be much more because they could result in healthcare or other complicated frauds.

108. 23andMe’s failures to adequately protect Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ Private Information has resulted in Plaintiff and Class Members having to undertake those tasks, which require extensive amounts of time and, for use of many credit and fraud protection services, payment of money. Rather than assist those affected by the Data Breach, 23andMe has put the burden on Plaintiff and Class Members to discover possible fraudulent activity and identity theft.

109. As a result of 23andMe’s failures to prevent the Data Breach, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered, will suffer, and are at increased risk of suffering:

- a. The compromise, publication, theft and/or unauthorized use of their Private Information;
- b. Out-of-pocket costs associated with the prevention, detection, recovery and remediation from identity theft or fraud;
- c. Lost opportunity costs and lost wages associated with efforts expended and the loss of productivity from addressing and attempting to mitigate the actual and future consequences of the Data Breach, including but not limited to efforts spent researching how to prevent, detect, contest, and recover from identity theft and fraud;
- d. The continued risk to their Private Information, which remains in the possession of Defendant and is subject to further breaches so long as

⁶¹ Erika Harrell et al., *Victims of Identity Theft, 2012*, DOJ, Off. of Just. Programs Bureau of Just. Stats. (Dec. 2013) at 1, 11, <https://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/vit12.pdf>.

Defendant fails to undertake appropriate measures to protect the Private Information in their possession;

- e. Current and future costs in terms of time, effort, and money that will be expended to prevent, detect, contest, remediate, and repair the impact of the Data Breach for the remainder of the lives of Plaintiff and Class Members; and
- f. Anxiety and distress resulting from fear of misuse of their genetic information.

110. In addition to a remedy for the economic harm, Plaintiff and Class Members maintain an undeniable interest in ensuring that their Private Information is secure, remains secure, and is not subject to further misappropriation and theft.

J. 23andMe's Delay in Identifying & Reporting the Breach Caused Additional Harm

111. It is well-documented that:

[T]he quicker a financial institution, credit card issuer, wireless carrier or other service provider is notified that fraud has occurred on an account, the sooner these organizations can act to limit the damage. Early notification can also help limit the liability of a victim in some cases, as well as allow more time for law enforcement to catch the fraudsters in the act.⁶²

Here, the same applies to 23andMe and the unauthorized access to Plaintiff's and Class Members' accounts.

112. Indeed, once a data breach has occurred,

[O]ne thing that does matter is hearing about a data breach quickly. That alerts consumers to keep a tight watch on credit card bills and suspicious emails. It can prompt them to change passwords and freeze credit reports. And notifying officials can help them catch cybercriminals and warn other businesses of emerging dangers . . . If consumers don't know about a breach because it wasn't reported, they can't take action to protect themselves.⁶³

113. Although their Private Information was improperly exposed on or before August 11, 2023, Plaintiff and Class Members were not notified until October 6, 2023. 23andMe's

⁶² Javelin Strategy & Research, *Identity Fraud Hits Record High with 15.4 Million U.S. Victims in 2016, Up 16 Percent According to New Javelin Strategy & Research Study*, Business Wire (Feb. 1, 2017), <https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20170201005166/en/Identity-Fraud-Hits-Record-High-15.4-Million>.

⁶³ Allen St. John, *The Data Breach Next Door*, Consumer Reports (Jan. 31, 2019), <https://www.consumerreports.org/data-theft/the-data-breach-next-door/>.

1 delay deprived Plaintiff and Class Members of the ability to promptly mitigate potential adverse
2 consequences resulting from the Data Breach.

3 114. As a result of 23andMe's delay in detecting and notifying individuals of the Data
4 Breach, the risk of fraud for Plaintiff and Class Members has been driven even higher, a warning
5 state Attorneys General have alluded to when questioning 23andMe about its "unreasonable
6 delay" in notifying affected consumers about the Data Breach.⁶⁴

7 **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

8 115. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of himself and all others similarly
9 situated pursuant to Rule 23(b)(2), 23(b)(3), and 23(c)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

10 116. The Class that Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows:

11 **All individuals in the United States whose Private Information was**
12 **compromised in the Data Breach.**

13 117. Excluded from the Class are the following individuals and/or entities: Defendant
14 and Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, and directors, current or former
15 employees, and any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest; all individuals who make
16 a timely election to be excluded from this proceeding using the correct protocol for opting out;
17 any and all federal, state or local governments, including but not limited to its departments,
18 agencies, divisions, bureaus, boards, sections, groups, counsels and/or subdivisions; and all
19 judges assigned to hear any aspect of this litigation, as well as any such judge's immediate family
20 members.

21 118. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or amend the definition of the proposed Class
22 before the Court determines whether certification is appropriate.

23 119. Certification of Plaintiff's claims for class-wide treatment is appropriate because
24 Plaintiff can prove the elements of his claims on a class-wide basis using the same evidence as
25 would be used to prove those elements in an individual actions alleging the same claims.

26
27
28 ⁶⁴ William Tong, Letter to Jacquie Cooke, General Counsel and Privacy Officer for 23andMe,
supra note 19.

1 120. Numerosity, Fed R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1): The Class is so numerous that joinder of all
2 members is impracticable. To date, Defendant has identified at least 1.1 million users whose
3 Private Information may have been improperly accessed and compromised in the Data Breach.

4 121. Commonality, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2) and (b)(3): Questions of law and fact
5 common to the Class exist and predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class
6 Members. These include:

- 7 a. Whether and when Defendant actually learned of the Data Breach and whether its
8 response was adequate;
 - 9 b. Whether Defendant owed a duty to the Class to exercise due care in collecting,
10 storing, safeguarding and/or obtaining Class Members' Private Information;
 - 11 c. Whether Defendant breached that duty;
 - 12 d. Whether Defendant implemented and maintained reasonable security procedures
13 and practices appropriate to the nature of storing Plaintiff's and Class Members'
14 Private Information;
 - 15 e. Whether Defendant acted negligently in connection with the monitoring and/or
16 protecting of Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information;
 - 17 f. Whether Defendant knew or should have known that it did not employ reasonable
18 measures to keep Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information secure and
19 prevent loss or misuse of that Private Information;
 - 20 g. Whether Defendant adequately addressed and fixed the vulnerabilities which
21 permitted the Data Breach to occur;
 - 22 h. Whether Defendant caused Plaintiff's and Class Members' damages;
 - 23 i. Whether Defendant violated the law by failing to promptly notify Class Members
24 that their Private Information had been compromised;
 - 25 j. Whether Plaintiff and the other Class Members will be entitled to actual damages,
26 extended credit monitoring, and other monetary relief; and
 - 27 k. Whether Defendant violated common law and statutory claims alleged herein.
- 28

1 122. Typicality, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3): Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of other
2 Class Members, because all had their Private Information compromised as a result of the Data
3 Breach, due to Defendant's misfeasance.

4 123. Policies Generally Applicable to the Class: This class action is also appropriate for
5 certification because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the
6 Class, thereby requiring the Court's imposition of uniform relief to ensure compatible standards
7 of conduct toward the Class and making final injunctive relief appropriate with respect to the
8 Class as a whole. Defendant's policies challenged herein apply to and affect the Class uniformly
9 and Plaintiff's challenge of these policies hinges on Defendant's conduct with respect to the Class
10 as a whole, not on facts or law applicable only to Plaintiff.

11 124. Adequacy, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4): Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent
12 and protect the interests of the Class Members. He has no disabling conflicts of interest that would
13 be antagonistic to those of the other Members of the Class. Plaintiff seeks no relief that is
14 antagonistic or adverse to the Members of the Class, and the infringement of the rights and the
15 damages they have suffered are typical of other Class Members. Plaintiff has retained counsel
16 experienced in complex consumer class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to prosecute this
17 action vigorously.

18 125. Superiority and Manageability, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3): The class litigation is an
19 appropriate method for fair and efficient adjudication of the claims involved. Class action
20 treatment is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the
21 controversy alleged herein; it will permit a large number of Class Members to prosecute their
22 common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary
23 duplication of evidence, effort, and expense that hundreds of individual actions would require.
24 Class action treatment will permit the adjudication of relatively modest claims by certain Class
25 Members, who could not individually afford to litigate a complex claim against a large
26 corporation like Defendant. Further, even for those Class Members who could afford to litigate
27 such a claim, it would still be economically impractical and impose a burden on the courts.
28

1 126. The nature of this action and the nature of laws available to Plaintiff and the Class
2 make the use of the class action device a particularly efficient and appropriate procedure to afford
3 relief to Plaintiff and the Class for the wrongs alleged because (1) Defendant would necessarily
4 gain an unconscionable advantage since Defendant would be able to exploit and overwhelm the
5 limited resources of the Class with superior financial and legal resources, (2) the costs of
6 individual suits could unreasonably consume the amounts that would be recovered, (3) proof of a
7 common course of conduct to which Plaintiff was exposed is representative of that experienced
8 by the Class and will establish the right of each Class Member to recover on the cause of action
9 alleged, and (4) individual actions would create a risk of inconsistent results and would be
10 unnecessary and duplicative of this litigation.

11 127. The litigation of the claims brought herein is manageable. Defendant's uniform
12 conduct, the consistent provisions of the relevant laws, and the ascertainable identities of Class
13 Members demonstrate that there would be no significant manageability problems with
14 prosecuting this lawsuit as a class action.

15 128. Adequate notice can be given to Class Members directly using information
16 maintained in Defendant's records.

17 129. Unless a Class-wide injunction is issued, Plaintiff and Class Members remain at
18 risk that Defendant will continue to fail to properly secure the Private Information of Plaintiff and
19 Class Members resulting in another data breach, continue to refuse to provide proper notification
20 to Class Members regarding the Data Breach, and continue to act unlawfully as set forth in this
21 Class Action Complaint.

22 130. Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class and,
23 accordingly, final injunctive or corresponding declaratory relief with regard to the Class as a
24 whole is appropriate under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

25 131. Likewise, particular issues under Rule 23(c)(4) are appropriate for certification
26 because such claims present only particular, common issues, the resolution of which would
27 advance the disposition of this matter and the parties' interests therein. Such particular issues
28 include, but are not limited to the following:

- a. Whether Defendant owed a legal duty to Plaintiff and the Class to exercise due care in collecting, storing, using, and safeguarding their Private Information;
- b. Whether Defendant breached a legal duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to exercise due care in collecting, storing, using, and safeguarding their Private Information;
- c. Whether Defendant failed to comply with its own policies and applicable laws, regulations, and industry standards relating to data security;
- d. Whether Defendant failed to implement and maintain reasonable and adequate security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature and scope of the information compromised in the Data Breach; and
- e. Whether Class Members are entitled to additional credit monitoring or other injunctive relief, and will be entitled to actual damages, and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct.

COUNT I
Negligence
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

132. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all allegations set forth above as if they were fully set forth herein.

133. Plaintiff and Class Members were required to submit their Private Information to Defendant in order to receive services from Defendant.

134. Defendant knew, or should have known, of the risks inherent in collecting and storing the Private Information of Plaintiff and Class Members.

135. As described above, Defendant owed duties of care to Plaintiff and Class Members whose Private Information had been entrusted with Defendant.

136. Defendant breached its duties to Plaintiff and Class Members by failing to provide fair, reasonable, or adequate computer systems and data security practices to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information.

1 159. Defendant, in taking possession of this highly sensitive information, formed a
2 special relationship with its customers, including Plaintiff and the Class.

3 160. Plaintiff and Class Members put their trust and confidence in Defendant's
4 judgment, honesty, and integrity in protecting their Private Information and the various accounts
5 that could be accessed through use (or misuse) of that Private Information.

6 161. Defendant knew that Plaintiff and Class Members were relying on Defendant to
7 safeguard and accepted that trust and confidence when they accepted Private Information from
8 Plaintiff and Class Members.

9 162. As a result of that special relationship, Defendant was provided with and stored
10 Plaintiff's and Class Members' private and valuable information, which Defendant was required
11 by law and industry standards to maintain in confidence.

12 163. In light of the special relationship between Defendant and Plaintiff and Class
13 Members, whereby Defendant became a guardian of Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private
14 Information, Defendant undertook a fiduciary duty to act primarily for the benefit of its customers,
15 including Plaintiff and Class Members, by safeguarding their Private Information.

16 164. Defendant had a fiduciary duty to act for the benefit of Plaintiff and Class
17 Members upon matters within the scope of this relationship, in particular, to keep secure and
18 maintain the confidentiality of Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information.

19 165. Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to exercise the utmost care
20 in obtaining, retaining, securing, safeguarding, deleting, and protecting their Private Information
21 in Defendant's possession from being compromised, lost, stolen, accessed by, misused by, or
22 disclosed to unauthorized persons.

23 166. Plaintiff and Class Members have a privacy interest in their personal, health,
24 genetic, and proprietary matters, and Defendant had a duty not to disclose or allow unauthorized
25 access to such confidential information.

26 167. Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information is not generally known to the
27 public and is confidential by nature. Moreover, Plaintiff and Class Members did not consent to
28

1 nor authorize Defendant to release or disclose their Private Information to unknown criminal
2 actors.

3 168. Defendant breached its fiduciary duty to Plaintiff and Class Members when
4 Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information was disclosed to unknown criminal hackers
5 by way of Defendants' own acts and omissions, as alleged herein.

6 169. Defendant knowingly breached its fiduciary duties by failing to safeguard
7 Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information, including by, among other things:

- 8 a. mismanaging its system and failing to identify reasonably foreseeable
9 internal and external risks to the security, confidentiality, and integrity of
10 customer information that resulted in the unauthorized access and
11 compromise of the Private Information;
- 12 b. mishandling its data security by failing to assess the sufficiency of its
13 safeguards in place to control those risks;
- 14 c. failing to design and implement information safeguards to control those
15 risks;
- 16 d. failing to adequately test and monitor the effectiveness of the safeguards'
17 key controls, systems, and procedures;
- 18 e. failing to evaluate and adjust its information security program in light of
19 the circumstances alleged herein;
- 20 f. failing to detect the Data Breach at the time it began or within a reasonable
21 time thereafter and give adequate notice to Plaintiff and Class Members
22 thereof;
- 23 g. failing to follow its own security practices published to its customers;
- 24 h. storing Private Information in an unencrypted and vulnerable manner,
25 allowing its disclosure to hackers; and
- 26 i. making an unauthorized and unjustified disclosure and release of
27 Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information to a criminal third
28 party.

1 170. But for Defendant's wrongful breach of its fiduciary duties owed to Plaintiffs and
2 Class Members, Plaintiff's and Class Members' privacy would not have been compromised and
3 their Private Information would not have been accessed by, acquired by, appropriated by,
4 disclosed to, encumbered by, exfiltrated by, released to, stolen by, used by, and/or viewed by
5 unauthorized third parties.

6 171. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of its fiduciary duties,
7 Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered or will suffer injuries, including but not limited to, the
8 following: loss of their privacy and confidentiality of their Private Information; theft of their
9 Private Information; costs associated with the detection and prevention of fraud and unauthorized
10 use of their Private Information; costs associated with purchasing credit monitoring and identity
11 theft protection services; costs associated with time spent and the loss of productivity from taking
12 time to address and attempt to ameliorate, mitigate, and deal with the actual and future
13 consequences of the Defendant's Data Breach, including finding fraudulent charges, enrolling in
14 credit monitoring and identity theft protection services, and filing reports with the police and FBI;
15 the imminent and certainly impending injury flowing from the increased risk of potential
16 harassment, fraud, and identity theft posed by their Private Information being placed in the hands
17 of criminals; damages to and diminution in value of their Private Information entrusted, directly
18 or indirectly, to Defendant with the mutual understanding that Defendant would safeguard
19 Plaintiff's and Class Members' data against theft and not allow access and misuse of their data
20 by others; continued risk of exposure to hackers and thieves of their Private Information, which
21 remains in Defendant's possession and is subject to further breaches so long as Defendant fails to
22 undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' data;
23 and/or mental anguish accompanying the loss of confidence and disclosure of their Private
24 Information.

25 172. Defendant breached its fiduciary duty to Plaintiff and Class Members when it
26 made an unauthorized release and disclosure of their confidential Private Information and,
27 accordingly, it would be inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefits they have received at
28 Plaintiff's and Class Members' expense.

1 and Class Members paid for and that were otherwise mandated by federal, state, and local laws,
2 and industry standards.

3 182. Defendant should be compelled to disgorge into a common fund for the benefit of
4 Plaintiff and Class Members all unlawful or inequitable proceeds received by Defendant.

5 183. A constructive trust should be imposed upon all unlawful or inequitable sums
6 received by Defendant traceable to Plaintiff and Class Members.

7 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

8 A. That the Court certify this action as a class action and certify the Class as proper
9 and maintainable pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, declare that
10 Plaintiff is the proper class representative, and appoint Plaintiff's Counsel as Class counsel;

11 B. That the Court grant permanent injunctive relief to prohibit Defendant from
12 engaging in the unlawful acts, omissions, and practices described herein;

13 C. That the Court award Plaintiff and members of the Class compensatory,
14 consequential, and general damages, amount to be determined at trial;

15 D. That the Court award punitive damages, as allowable by law;

16 E. That the Court order disgorgement and restitution of all earnings, profits,
17 compensation, and benefits received by Defendant as a result of their unlawful acts, omissions,
18 and practices;

19 F. That Plaintiff be granted the declaratory relief sought herein;

20 G. That the Court award to Plaintiff the costs and disbursements of the action, along
21 with reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses;

22 H. That the Court award pre- and post-judgment interest at the maximum legal rate;
23 and

24 I. That the Court grant all such other relief as it deems just and proper.

25 **JURY DEMAND**

26 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.
27
28

1 DATED: November 9, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

2 **BERMAN TABACCO**

3
4
5 By: /s/ Daniel E. Barenbaum
Daniel E. Barenbaum

6
7 Daniel E. Barenbaum (SBN 209261)
Christina M. Sarraf (SBN 328028)
425 California Street, Suite 2300
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 433-3200
Facsimile: (415) 433-6382
Email: dbarenbaum@bermantabacco.com
csarraf@bermantabacco.com

8
9
10 Patrick T. Egan (*pro hac vice* application
forthcoming)
Steven J. Buttacavoli (*pro hac vice*
application forthcoming)
11 **BERMAN TABACCO**
One Liberty Square
Boston, MA 02109
Telephone: (617) 542-8300
Facsimile: (617) 542-1194
Email: pegan@bermantabacco.com
sbuttacavoli@bermantabacco.com

12
13
14
15
16
17
18 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed*
Class